

From: [Miller, Garyg](#)
To: [Stephen Ellis](#)
Cc: [Salinas, Amy](#); [Foster, Anne](#)
Subject: RE: engineering seal
Date: Wednesday, September 18, 2013 7:28:20 AM

Stephen,

Yes, that is true. The San Jacinto submittals haven't been sealed to date; question is, however, what is considered an engineering document? If its prepared by an engineer, or its design drawings or specs prepared by an engineer, it must be sealed, however, many submittals are prepared by geologists, scientists, hydrologists, etc., and are not design drawings & specs. The reports previously have mostly been assessments that rely heavily on science & not engineering. Generally I have seen that design plans are sealed, but the RI & FS are not. Perhaps the appropriate parts of the FS that would likely be prepared by engineers, such as the cost estimates, maps showing the design of the various alternatives, etc., should be sealed? Maybe the whole FS? (not sure what the requirements are for parts of a report). I would appreciate any thoughts you or others may have on that.

Thanks,

Gary Miller
EPA Remedial Project Manager
214-665-8318
miller.garyg@epa.gov

From: Stephen Ellis [<mailto:stephen.ellis@tceq.texas.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2013 2:05 PM
To: Miller, Garyg
Subject: engineering seal

Gary,

An engineer in our office who is helping me with the FS review was asking about sealed drawings. Hadn't really thought about it before, but he is saying someone licensed in Texas must seal all engineering documents. Has this been done for San Jacinto? Have you seen the originals?

Stephen Ellis
Project Manager
Superfund Section
Remediation Division
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
512-239-5337
stephen.ellis@tceq.texas.gov



9601620